PATENT COOPERATION TREATS

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EX. To: Weaver, Jeffrey K. BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LI P.O. Box 778 Berkeley, CA 94704-0778 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE	AMINING AUTHORIT	ASSOC DED ASSOC DED TO THE T	PCT WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE	31/03/2003
IGT1P031.WO		within 1 / 00 months/days from the above date of mailing	
International application No.	International filing date		Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US 01/25091	09/08/2001		18/08/2000
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC			
G07F17/32 Applicant			
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY			
2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: I \(\times \) Basis of the opinion II \(\times \) Priority III \(\times \) Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV \(\times \) Lack of unity of invention V \(\times \) Reasoned statement under Rule 66.\(\times \) (a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement VI \(\times \) Certain documents cited VII \(\times \) Certain defects in the international application VIII \(\times \) Certain defects in the international application VIII \(\times \) Certain defects in the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. 4. The applicant is thereby invited to reply to this opinion. For an additional opportunity to to binied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rules 66.8 If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. 4. The final date by which the international preliminary			
examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 18/12/2002			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		Authorized officer	Service Marie
European Patent Office, P.B. 58; NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Netherle Tel.: (+31-70) 340-2040 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	ands	Examiner Formalities officer (incl. extension of time Tel. (+49-89) 2399 28;	
Fac: (+31-70) 340-3016 (incl. extension of time limits) Tel. (+49-89) 2399 2828 Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (march 2002)			

- I. Basis of the opinion
- The basis of this written opinion is the application as originally filed.
- Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
- In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the
 invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the
 criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve
 an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X
 and/or Y and corresponding claims references).
- 2. If amendments are filed, the applicant should comply with the requirements of Rule 66.8 PCT and indicate the basis of the amendments in the documents of the application as originally filed (Article 34 (2) (b) PCT) otherwise these amendments may not be taken into consideration for the establishment of the international preliminary examination report. The attention of the applicant is of awn to the fact that if the applicant is contains an unnecessary plurality of independent claims, no examination of any of the claims will be carried out.
- NB: Should the applicant decide to request detailed substantive examination, then an international preliminary examination report will normally be established directly. Exceptionally the examiner may draw up a second written opinion, should this be explicitly requested.